

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,829	09/29/2000	Cathal McGloin	NIC-P002US	2975
50048 7. MIELE LAW G	590 01/24/2007 ROUP		EXAMINER	
2 SUMMER STREET, SUITE 306			LE, LINH GIANG	
NATICK, MA 0	1760		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3626	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	TUS :	01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/672,829	MCGLOIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michelle Linh-Giang Le	3626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 C	October 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under it	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 17-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received to (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12192006 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to action filed 26 October 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lbarra (6119097) in view of Eder (2001/0034628).
- As per claim 17, Ibarra teaches a computer system, comprising:
 An employee setup mechanism to create a database record for an employee (Ibarra;
 Abstract);

a data receive mechanism to receive data units from a given source and to store the received data units, the received data units as stored being associated with plural data unit types (lbarra; Col. 5, lines 1-5 and Col. 6, lines 41-48);

Ibarra does not expressly teach:

a metric name input presenter preconfigured to present, on a computer screen, a metric name input field to receive from user input a flexible textual character term coining a name

Art Unit: 3626

for a custom performance metric to be defined; a data unit type input presenter preconfigured to present, on a computer screen, data unit type input fields corresponding to the new performance metric, to receive from user input selected type terms indicating select ones of the plural data unit types to be collected and used to formulate the custom performance metric; an operator input presenter preconfigured to present, on a computer screen, an operator input field to receive from user input at least one defined mathematical operation to be performed on received and stored data units associated with the selected type terms in the formulation of the custom performance metric; and a data association mechanism to associate the textual character term with the selected types and with the at least one defined mathematical operation.

However, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by Eder. In particular Eder teaches utilizing different valuation methodology (reads on "performance metric"; Eder; Pg. 3, Para. 27). Eder teaches an application database for storing user input, extracted information and system calculations (Eder; pg. 5, Para. 48). Eder further teaches a data dictitonary window and prompting the user for any input required to define data fields (Eder; pg. 10, para. 82). It would have obvious to add these features to the method taught by Ibarra with the motivation of to provide information that would be useful in improving the business (Eder; Pg. 2, Para. 19).

As per claims 18-26 Ibarra does not expressly teach:
 wherein the data receive mechanism comprises an integration engine;

Art Unit: 3626

wherein the data receive mechanism comprising an integration engine and a performance management system database;

wherein the data receive mechanism includes one or more application programming interfaces and an integration engine;

wherein the given source includes a source database;

wherein the given source further includes a structured database of workforce manager that can produce reports on employee performance; wherein the given source comprises a source database of a third party system;

wherein the received data units are stored in a performance management database;

wherein the received data units are stored in a structured manner using one or more application programming interfaces;

wherein the received data units are received in flat-file reports.

However, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by Eder. In particular Eder teaches a computer based human resource system where the databases, tables and files are accessed to complete a business valuation (Eder; Pg. 9, para. 74 and Table 11). It would have obvious to add these features to the method taught by Ibarra with the motivation of to provide information that would be useful in improving the business (Eder; Pg. 2, Para. 19).

As per claims 27-34 Ibarra does not expressly teach:

wherein the data configuration user interface comprises a configuration functions mechanism and a user interface;

Art Unit: 3626

wherein the data configuration user interface comprises a graphical user interface:

wherein the graphical user interface comprises a WindowsTm based interface;

further comprising a performance data user interface to receive performance data from personnel within an organization;

wherein the performance data user interface comprises an information management function mechanism and a user interface;

wherein the performance data user interface comprises a graphical user interface;

wherein the graphical user interface includes an HTML user interface; wherein the performance data user interface receives performance data provided in real time via an HTML user interface in response to a request.

However, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by Eder. In particular Eder teaches a user-interface portion (Eder; Pg. 5; Para. 53) and connection via an interconnection network (Eder; Pg. 5, Para. 53). It would have obvious to add these features to the method taught by Ibarra with the motivation of to provide information that would be useful in improving the business (Eder; Pg. 2, Para. 19).

Claims 35-39 repeat limitations of claims 17-34 and the reasons for rejection are incorporated herein.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3626

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Linh-Giang Le whose telephone number is 571-272-8207. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5PM, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carolin Bleck Pakent Examiner -3626 1/22/07